arXiv:quant-ph/9509018v1 29 Sep 1995

INTRODUCTION TO QUANTUM OPTICS

V. I. Man'ko

Lebedev Physical Institute
Leninsky Prospekt, 53
Moscow 117924, Russia

Abstract

The theory of quantum propagator and time–dependent integrals of motion in quantum optics is reviewed as well as the properties of Wigner function, Q–function, and coherent state representation. Propagators and wave functions of a free particle, harmonic oscillator, and the oscillator with varying frequency are studied using time–dependent linear in position and momentum integrals of motion. Such nonclassical states of light (of quantum systems) as squeezed states, correlated states, even and odd coherent states (Schrödinger cat states) are considered. Photon distribution functions of Schrödinger cat male and female states are given, and the photon distribution function of squeezed vacuum is derived using the theory of the oscillator with varying parameters. Properties of multivariable Hermite polynomials used for the description of the multimode squeezed and correlated light and polymode Schrödinger cats are studied.

1 Introduction

In the lectures the recent results [1], [2] relating time–dependent integrals of motion and Green function of quantum system (Feynman path integral) are demonstrated. Obtaining of the quantum propagator of a quantum system with quadratic Hamiltonians is reduced to solving the system of the eigenvalue equations for the linear in positions and momenta integrals of motion. In spite that in the Schrödinger equation for the propagator the time and space variables are not separated the existence of the time–dependent invariants gives the possibility to treat this problem as the problem with separable variables.

The integral of motion which is quadratic in position and momentum was found for classical oscillator with time–dependent frequency a long time ago by Ermakov [3]. Two time–dependent integrals of motion which are linear forms in position and momentum for the classical and quantum oscillator with time–dependent frequency were found in [4]; for a charge moving in varying in time uniform magnetic field, this was done in [5] (in the absense of the electric field), [6], [7] (nonstationary magnetic field with the "circular" gauge of the vector potential plus uniform nonstationary

electric field, and [8] (for the Landau gauge of the time-dependent vector potential plus nonstationary electric field). For the multimode nonstationary oscillatory systems, such new integrals of motion, both of Ermakov's type (quadratic in positions and momenta) and linear in position and momenta, generalizing the results of [4] were constructed in [9]. Recently the discussed time–dependent invariants were obtained using Noether's theorem in [10]–[12].

In the lectures the coherent states of the oscillator are discussed and these states are used to construct Q–function of the oscillator at zero and finite temperatures. The nonclassical states are discussed in details. The Wigner function of multimode squeezed light is studied using such special functions as multivariable Hermite polynomials. The theory of parametric oscillator is appropriate to consider the problem of creation of photons from vacuum in a resonator with moving walls (with moving mirrors) which is the phenomenon based on the existence of Casimir forces (so–called nonstationary Casimir effect). The resonator with moving boundaries (moving mirrors, media with time–dependent refractive index) produces also effect of squeezing in the light quadratures. In the high energy physics very fast particle collisions may produce new types of states of boson fields (pions, for example) which are squeezed and correlated states studied in quantum optics but almost unknown in particle physics, both theoretically and experimentally.

2 States of Quantum Systems

In the Schrödinger representation, the state of one–dimensional quantum system is described by a complex wave function (in coordinate representation)

$$\psi(q,t) =< q|\psi,t >, \tag{1}$$

where $|\psi,t>$ is the time–dependent state vector in Hilbert space of quantum system states, and $|q>$ is the eigenstate of the position operator. Such states are called pure states.

The mixed state of the quantum system is described by the density matrix (a function of two variables q,q', and time t)

$$\rho(q,q',t) =< q|\rho(t)|q' >, \tag{2}$$

where $\rho(t)$ is the time–dependent density operator satisfying the conditions of hermiticity

$$\rho\dagger(t) = \rho(t), \tag{3}$$

normalization

$$\mathrm{Tr}\ \rho(t) = 1, \tag{4}$$

and nonnegativity

$$\rho(t) \geq 0. \tag{5}$$

For pure state, the density operator which is projector on the state $|\psi, t>$, i.e.

$$\rho(t) = |\psi, t >< \psi, t| \tag{6}$$

satisfies the extra condition

$$\rho^2(t) = \rho(t), \tag{7}$$

and, consequently,

$$\mu = \mathrm{Tr}\ \rho^2(t) = 1. \tag{8}$$

For the system with a Hamiltonian operator $H(t)$, the state vector satisfies the Schrödinger equation ($\hbar$ is Planck constant)

$$i\hbar \frac{\partial}{\partial t}|\psi, t >= H(t)|\psi, t > . \tag{9}$$

The density operator (6) obeys the evolution equation

$$\frac{\partial \rho(t)}{\partial t} + \frac{i}{\hbar}[H(t), \rho(t)] = 0. \tag{10}$$

All the information on the quantum system is contained in the density operator whose matrix elements may be taken in any appropriate basis.

3 Evolution Operator

The evolution of the state vector $|\psi, t>$ is determined by an evolution operator $U(t)$ which is defined by the equality

$$|\psi, t >= U(t)|\psi, 0 > . \tag{11}$$

This operator obeys the Schrödinger equation

$$i\hbar \frac{\partial U(t)}{\partial t} = H(t)U(t), \tag{12}$$

with the obvious initial condition

$$U(0) = \hat{\mathbf{1}}, \tag{13}$$

where $\hat{\mathbf{1}}$ is the unity operator. The matrix element of the evolution operator in coordinate representation is called Green function (or quantum propagator, or Feynman amplitude considered as path integral)

$$G(q, q', t) =< q|U(t)|q' > . \tag{14}$$

The Green function satisfies the Schrödinger equation

$$i\hbar\frac{\partial G(q,q',t)}{\partial t} - H(t)G(q,q',t) = i\hbar\delta(t)\delta(q-q'), \tag{15}$$

with the initial condition

$$G(q,q',0) = \delta(q-q'). \tag{16}$$

The propagator in momentum representation is connected with the Green function by the integral transform

$$G(p,p',t) =< p|U(t)|p' >= \int < p|q >< q|U(t)|q' >< q'|p' > \; dq \; dq', \tag{17}$$

which is the Fourier transform since

$$< q|p >= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\hbar}} \exp\frac{ipq}{\hbar}, \tag{18}$$

and we used the completeness condition of the position state vectors

$$\int |q >< q| \; dq = \hat{\mathbf{1}}. \tag{19}$$

Below we will use the propagator and density matrix in other representations, too.

4 Integrals of Motion

The integral of motion or invariant operator $I(t)$ is defined by the equality

$$\frac{d}{dt} < \psi,t|I(t)|\psi,t >= 0. \tag{20}$$

The sufficient condition for the operator to be the invariant is the equality to zero of the full time derivative of this operator,

$$\frac{dI(t)}{dt} = \frac{\partial I(t)}{\partial t} + \frac{i}{\hbar}[H(t),I(t)] = 0. \tag{21}$$

Comparing Eq. (21) with Eq. (10) we see that the density operator $\rho(t)$ is the quantum integral of motion for systems with Hamiltonian $H(t)$.

There exist several theorems on the properties of integrals of motion [1]:
i) A function of integrals of motion $F(I(t))$ is the integral of motion.
ii) Eigenvalues of integrals of motion do not depend on time.
iii) Given a solution to Schrödinger equation $|\psi(t) >$ and integral of motion $I(t)$. Then the vector $|\phi,t >= I(t)|\psi(t) >$ is solution to Schrödinger equation.

These properties are easily proved if one uses necessary and sufficient conditions for the operator $I(t)$ to be the integral of motion which are expressed by the relation of the quantum invariant and the evolution operator of the system $U(t)$ of the form

$$I(t) = U(t)I(0)U^{-1}(t). \tag{22}$$

Systems with hermitian Hamiltonians $H\dagger(t) = H(t)$ have unitary evolution operators

$$U(t)\dagger = U^{-1}(t). \tag{23}$$

Observables $I_H(t)$ in the Heisenberg representation are related to the evolution operator by the formula

$$I_H(t) = U\dagger(t)I(0)U(t). \tag{24}$$

From Eqs. (22)–(24), the relation of integrals of motion $I(t)$ to observables in the Heisenberg representation is [1], [2]

$$I(t) = U^2(t)I_H(t)U^{-2}(t). \tag{25}$$

For stationary systems with time–independent Hamiltonians, this relation is reduced to the equality

$$I(t) = I_H(-t). \tag{26}$$

There are integrals of motion which at the initial time $t = 0$ coincide with the position and momentum operators. These invariants are

$$p_0(t) = U(t)pU^{-1}(t), \tag{27}$$

and

$$q_0(t) = U(t)qU^{-1}(t). \tag{28}$$

5 Invariants and Propagator

From relations (27), (28) and the rule of the multiplication of matrices, it follows the system of new equations for the quantum propagator [1]

$$p_0(t)_q G(q, q', t) = i\hbar \frac{\partial}{\partial q'} G(q, q', t), \tag{29}$$

$$q_0(t)_q G(q, q', t) = q' G(q, q', t). \tag{30}$$

In the left hand side of equalities subindices q mean that operators $p_0(t)$ and $q_0(t)$ act on the argument q of the Green function. The system of Eqs. (15), (29), (30) is selfconsistent system, and the coordinate dependence of the quantum propagator may be found from Eqs. (29), (30). The time–dependent phase factor of the Green function is determined by Eq. (15). Analogous systems of equations for the quantum propagator may be written in any representation.

6 Free Particle

The Hamiltonian for a free particle with mass m is time–independent

$$H = \frac{p^2}{2m}. \tag{31}$$

The momentum operator is known to be the integral of motion for the free motion. So we have

$$p_0(t) = p. \tag{32}$$

It is easy to check that the time–dependent operator

$$q_0(t) = q - \frac{p}{m}t \tag{33}$$

is the integral of motion since its full time derivative equals to zero. Equality (26) means that the position and momentum operators of the free particle in the Heisenberg representation are

$$p_H(t) = p, \tag{34}$$

$$q_H(t) = q + \frac{p}{m}t. \tag{35}$$

If one introduces the vector–operator $\mathbf{Q}$ with components Q_α, $\alpha = 1, 2$, such that $Q_1 = p$, $Q_2 = q$, Eqs. (32), (33) may be written in the matrix form

$$\mathbf{Q}_0(t) = \Lambda(t)\mathbf{Q}, \tag{36}$$

where the 2×2–matrix $\Lambda(t)$ has the form

$$\Lambda(t) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ -t/m & 1 \end{pmatrix}. \tag{37}$$

One can check that the commutator

$$[Q_\alpha, Q_\beta] = \Sigma_{\alpha\beta}, \tag{38}$$

where the matrix Σ has the form

$$\Sigma = -i\hbar \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \tag{39}$$

is not changed for integrals of motion

$$[Q_{0\alpha}(t), Q_{0\beta}(t)] = \Sigma_{\alpha\beta}. \tag{40}$$

It means that the matrix $\Lambda(t)$ is the real symplectic matrix, i.e.

$$\Lambda(t)\Sigma\Lambda^{tr}(t) = \Sigma \tag{41}$$

belonging to the Lie group $Sp(2,R)$.

It is interesting to note that the time–dependent operator quadratic in position and momentum

$$K(t) = q^2 + \frac{p^2 t^2}{m^2} - \frac{t}{m}(pq + qp) \tag{42}$$

is the integral of motion for the free particle. This operator is obtained using the relation

$$K(t) = q_0^2(t) \tag{43}$$

analogous to the relation of the energy to the invariant momentum $p_0(t)$ (32)

$$H = \frac{1}{2m} p_0^2(t).$$

According to Eqs. (29), (30) and (32), (33) the free particle propagator must satisfy the system of equations

$$-i\hbar \frac{\partial G(q,q',t)}{\partial q} = i\hbar \frac{\partial G(q,q',t)}{\partial q'}, \tag{44}$$

$$qG(q,q',t) + \frac{i\hbar t}{m} \frac{\partial G(q,q',t)}{\partial q} = q' G(q,q',t). \tag{45}$$

Equation (44) means that

$$G(q,q',t) = g(x,t), \qquad x = q - q', \tag{46}$$

where $g(x,\ t)$ is an arbitrary function. Equation (45) may be rewritten in the form

$$xg(x,t) + \frac{i\hbar t}{m} \frac{\partial g(x,t)}{\partial x} = 0. \tag{47}$$

Then we obtain the solution for this equation in Gaussian form

$$g(x,t) = N(t) \exp \frac{imx^2}{2\hbar t}. \tag{48}$$

The function $N(t)$ is obtained from Eq. (15)

$$N(t) = \frac{C}{\sqrt{t}}. \tag{49}$$

The normalization constant C can be found from the initial condition for the propagator (16) using the formula

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \{ \frac{1}{\sqrt{\varepsilon \pi}} \exp - \frac{x^2}{\varepsilon} \} = \delta(x), \tag{50}$$

which gives the free particle propagator

$$G(q,q',t) = \sqrt{\frac{m}{2\pi i\hbar t}} \exp \frac{im(q-q')^2}{2\hbar t}. \tag{51}$$

7 Harmonic Oscillator

Let us study by methods of the integrals of motion the harmonic oscillator with the time–independent Hamiltonian

$$H = \frac{p^2}{2m} + \frac{m\omega^2 q^2}{2}. \tag{52}$$

One can check that there exist two invariants linear in position and momentum

$$p_0(t) = \cos\omega t \;\; p + m\omega \sin\omega t \;\; q \tag{53}$$

and

$$q_0(t) = -\frac{1}{m\omega}\sin\omega t \;\; p + \cos\omega t \;\; q. \tag{54}$$

For $\omega \to 0$ invariants (53), (54) coincide with free motion invariants (32), (33), respectively.

The propagator of the harmonic oscillator satisfies the system of equations

$$-i\hbar\cos\omega t \;\; \frac{\partial G(q,q',t)}{\partial q} + m\omega q \sin\omega t \;\; G(q,q',t) = i\hbar\frac{\partial G(q,q',t)}{\partial q'}, \tag{55}$$

$$\frac{i\hbar}{m\omega}\sin\omega t \;\; \frac{\partial G(q,q',t)}{\partial q} + q\cos\omega t \;\; G(q,q',t) = q' G(q,q',t), \tag{56}$$

$$i\hbar\frac{\partial G(q,q',t)}{\partial t} = -\frac{\hbar^2}{2m}\frac{\partial^2 G(q,q',t)}{\partial^2 q} + \frac{m\omega^2}{2}q^2 G(q,q',t), \quad t > 0. \tag{57}$$

Integrating Eq. (56) we find q–dependence of the propagator

$$G(q,q',t) = f(q',t)\exp\{\frac{im\omega}{2\hbar}(q^2\cot\omega t \;\; - \frac{2qq'}{\sin\omega t})\}, \tag{58}$$

in which the factor $f(q',\ t)$ has to be found using Eqs. (55) and (57).

Using Eq. (55) we obtain the following relation

$$\frac{\partial f(q',t)}{\partial q'} = q'\frac{im\omega}{\hbar}\cot\omega t \;\; f(q',t). \tag{59}$$

From this equation q'–dependence of the propagator is found and we have

$$G(q,q',t) = N(t)\exp\{\frac{im\omega}{2\hbar}[(q^2 + q'^2)\cot\omega t \;\; - \frac{2qq'}{\sin\omega t}]\}. \tag{60}$$

Due to Eq. (57) the time–dependent factor $N(\ t)$ satisfies the equation

$$\dot{N}(t) = -\frac{\omega}{2}\cot\omega t \;\; N(t), \tag{61}$$

which gives

$$N(t) = \frac{C}{\sqrt{\sin \omega t}}. \tag{62}$$

The normalization constant C may be found from the initial condition (16) using Eq. (50). So we have the propagator of the harmonic oscillator

$$G(q, q', t) = \sqrt{\frac{m\omega}{2\pi i\hbar \sin \omega t}} \exp\{\frac{im\omega}{2\hbar}[(q^2 + q'^2) \cot \omega t \quad - \frac{2qq'}{\sin \omega t}]\}. \tag{63}$$

Equation (57) for the propagator of the oscillator is the equation in which variables $q,\ q',\ t$ are not separable. The advantage of the metod of integrals of motion is the possibility to use the procedure analogous to the procedure when we solve the equation with separable variables. Thus we find the dependence of the propagator on different coordinates step by step as in the method of separable coordinates.

8 Coherent States

Let us introduce the annihilation and creation operators

$$a = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(\sqrt{\frac{m\omega}{\hbar}}q + \frac{i}{\sqrt{\hbar m\omega}}p), \tag{64}$$

$$a\dagger = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(\sqrt{\frac{m\omega}{\hbar}}q - \frac{i}{\sqrt{\hbar m\omega}}p). \tag{65}$$

They satisfy commutation relations

$$[a, a\dagger] = \hat{\mathbf{1}}. \tag{66}$$

The Hamiltonian of the harmonic oscillator can be rewritten as

$$H = \hbar\omega(a\dagger a + \frac{1}{2}). \tag{67}$$

The integrals of motion linear in the creation and annihilation operators are [1], [2], [4]

$$A(t) = e^{i\omega t}a, \tag{68}$$

$$A\dagger(t) = e^{-i\omega t}a\dagger. \tag{69}$$

The operator $A(t)$ is the linear combination of invariants $p_0(t)$ (53) and $q_0(t)$ (54) of the form

$$A(t) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}[\sqrt{\frac{m\omega}{\hbar}}q_0(t) + \frac{i}{\sqrt{\hbar m\omega}}p_0(t)]. \tag{70}$$

Let us find normalized solutions to the eigenvalue equation

$$a\psi_\alpha(q) = \alpha\psi_\alpha(q), \tag{71}$$

where α is a complex number. Equation (71) rewritten as the differential equation

$$\sqrt{\frac{m\omega}{\hbar}}q\psi_\alpha(q) + \frac{1}{\sqrt{\hbar m\omega}}\frac{\partial\psi_\alpha(q)}{\partial q} = \sqrt{2}\alpha\psi_\alpha(q) \tag{72}$$

has the normalized solution of the Gaussian form

$$\psi_\alpha(q) = (\frac{m\omega}{\hbar\pi})^{1/4}\exp(-\frac{|\alpha|^2}{2} - \frac{m\omega}{2\hbar}q^2 + \sqrt{\frac{2m\omega}{\hbar}}\alpha q - \frac{\alpha^2}{2}). \tag{73}$$

For $\alpha = 0$, we have the wave function of the ground state

$$\psi_0(q) =< q|0 >= (\frac{m\omega}{\hbar\pi})^{1/4}\exp(-\frac{m\omega}{2\hbar}q^2). \tag{74}$$

The state $|\alpha >$ which is the normalized eigenstate of the annihilation operator a

$$a|\alpha >= \alpha|\alpha > \tag{75}$$

is called the coherent state and in coordinate representation the wave function of this state is given by Eq. (73). Orthogonal number states $|n >$, $n = 0, 1, 2, \ldots$ which are eigenstates of the hermitian operator $a\dagger a$,

$$a\dagger a|n >= n|n >, \tag{76}$$

satisfy the completeness condition

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}|n >< n| = \hat{\mathbf{1}}. \tag{77}$$

The coherent state is decomposed as

$$|\alpha >= \exp -\frac{|\alpha|^2}{2}\ \sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\frac{\alpha^n}{\sqrt{n!}}|n > . \tag{78}$$

Since

$$\begin{aligned} a|n > &= \sqrt{n}|n-1 >, \\ a\dagger|n > &= \sqrt{n+1}|n+1 >, \end{aligned} \tag{79}$$

one can check that the series (78) satisfies the eigenvalue equation (75). Due to the orthogonality property of the number state basis

$$< n|m >= \delta_{nm}, \tag{80}$$

one has the scalar product of coherent states or matrix elements of unity operator in the coherent state basis

$$< \alpha|\beta >= \exp(-\frac{|\alpha|^2}{2} - \frac{|\beta|}{2} + \alpha^*\beta) =< \alpha|\hat{\mathbf{1}}|\beta > . \tag{81}$$

Using the integral

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \exp(-ax^2 + bx)\ dx = \sqrt{\frac{\pi}{a}} \exp \frac{b^2}{4a}, \tag{82}$$

and differentiating this equality as the function of variable a we have

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} x^2 \exp(-ax^2 + bx)\ dx = \frac{\sqrt{\pi}}{2} a^{-3/2} (1 + \frac{b^2}{2a}) \exp \frac{b^2}{4}. \tag{83}$$

Differentiating equality (82) as the function of variable b we have

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} x \exp(-ax^2 + bx)\ dx = \frac{b}{2a} \sqrt{\frac{\pi}{a}} \exp \frac{b^2}{4a}. \tag{84}$$

The mean value of the oscillator coordinate in the coherent state may be calculated using the integral (84)

$$< \alpha|q|\alpha >= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} q|\psi_\alpha(q)|^2\ dq = \sqrt{\frac{2\hbar}{m\omega}}\ \text{Re}\ \alpha. \tag{85}$$

The mean value of momentum in the coherent state $|\alpha >$ is also given by the integral of the form (84), and we have

$$< \alpha|p|\alpha >= -i\hbar \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \psi_\alpha^*(q) \frac{\partial \psi_\alpha(q)}{\partial q}\ dq = \sqrt{2\hbar m\omega}\ \text{Im}\ \alpha. \tag{86}$$

The dispersion of the coordinate in the coherent state

$$\sigma_q =< \alpha|q^2|\alpha > -(< \alpha|q|\alpha >)^2 \tag{87}$$

may be calculated using the integral (83) and one obtaines

$$\sigma_q = \frac{\hbar}{2m\omega}. \tag{88}$$

The dispersion of the momentum in the coherent state

$$\sigma_p =< \alpha|p^2|\alpha > -(< \alpha|p|\alpha >)^2 \tag{89}$$

may be also obtained by means of the integral (83) and one has

$$\sigma_p = \frac{\hbar m\omega}{2}. \tag{90}$$

Thus dispersions of momentum and position which in quantum optics are called dispersions of quadratures do not depend on the complex number α. The covariance of the position and momentum in the coherent state

$$\sigma_{qp} = \frac{1}{2} < \alpha|(qp + pq)|\alpha > - < \alpha|q|\alpha >< \alpha|p|\alpha > \tag{91}$$

turns out to be equal to zero

$$\sigma_{qp} = 0. \tag{92}$$

The decomposition of the coherent state (78) means that the photon distribution function of the coherent light

$$P_n = |< n|\alpha >|^2 = e^{-|\alpha|^2} \frac{|\alpha|^{2n}}{n!} \tag{93}$$

is the Poisson distribution function. Thus in coherent states uncertainties of quadratures are equal to uncertainties in the vacuum state $|0>$, and dispersions minimize the Heisenberg uncertainty relation

$$\sigma_p \sigma_q \geq \frac{\hbar^2}{4}, \tag{94}$$

which for coherent state becomes the equality

$$\sigma_p \sigma_q = \frac{\hbar^2}{4}. \tag{95}$$

There are no quadrature correlations in the coherent state. The mean value of the number of photons in the coherent state is

$$< n >= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} nP(n) = |\alpha|^2, \tag{96}$$

and the photon number dispersion equals

$$\sigma_n =< n^2 > - < n >^2 = |\alpha|^2, \tag{97}$$

since for the Poisson distribution the variance is equal to mean value of a random variable. Using the generating function for the Poisson distribution

$$G(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} z^n P(n) = \exp[(z-1)|\alpha|^2], \tag{98}$$

one can easily check these properties due to relations

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{dG}{dz}(z=1) &= < n >, \\ \frac{d^2G}{d^2z}(z=1) &= < n >^2 - < n > . \end{aligned} \tag{99}$$

The coherent state may be created from the vacuum state by means of the unitary displacement operator

$$|\alpha >= D(\alpha)|0>, \tag{100}$$

where

$$D(\alpha) = \exp(\alpha a\dagger - \alpha^* a) = \exp(-\frac{|\alpha|^2}{2})\ \exp(\alpha a\dagger)\ \exp(-\alpha^* a). \tag{101}$$

For matrices A and B of finite and infinite dimensions, there exists the formula

$$e^B A e^{-B} = A + [B, A] + \frac{1}{2!}[B, [B, A]] + \frac{1}{3!}[B, [B, [B, A]]] + \dots \tag{102}$$

In the case

$$[A, [A, B]] = [B, [A, B]] = 0,$$

the following rule holds

$$e^A\ e^B = \exp(A + B + \frac{1}{2}[A, B]). \tag{103}$$

This rule was used in formula (101) since the commutator of the creation and annihilation operators commutes with these operators. Applying the displacement operator to vacuum state and using the equality

$$\exp(-\alpha^* a)|0 >= |0 > \tag{104}$$

we reproduce the series (78) since the number state $|n >$ is given by the relation

$$|n >= \frac{a\dagger^n}{\sqrt{n!}}|0 > . \tag{105}$$

From the decomposition (78) it is obvious that the vector $\exp(|\alpha|^2/2)\ |\alpha >$ is analytical function of the complex variable α, and it is the generating function for the number state vector $|n >$. Using this remark and the definition of Hermite polynomials through the generating function

$$e^{-\alpha^2+2t\alpha} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{H_n(t)}{n!}\alpha^n, \tag{106}$$

one can obtain the expression for the wave function of the number state $\psi_n(q) = < q|n >$ comparing the coherent state wave function (73) with the generating function (106). We obtain

$$\psi_n(q) = \psi_0(q)\frac{1}{\sqrt{2^n n!}}H_n(q\sqrt{\frac{m\omega}{\hbar}}), \tag{107}$$

where $\psi_0(q)$ is given by Eq. (74).

Using the completeness relation of number states (77) one can obtain the completeness relation of coherent states

$$\frac{1}{\pi}\int\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |\alpha >< \alpha|\ d\alpha_1\ d\alpha_2 = \hat{\mathbf{1}}, \qquad \alpha = \alpha_1 + i\alpha_2, \tag{108}$$

since in polar coordinates the integral in the left hand side of equality (108) is reduced easily to the sum in the left hand side of Eq. (77).

9 Evolution of Coherent State

Since the Green function is the kernel of the evolution operator the evolution of the coherent state wave function is given by the integral

$$\psi_\alpha(q,t) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} G(q,q',t)\psi_\alpha(q')\ dq', \tag{109}$$

where we use the propagator (63). The corresponding integral is of the Gaussian form (82) and we have

$$\psi_\alpha(q,t) = e^{-i\omega t/2}\psi_{\widetilde{\alpha}}(q), \qquad \widetilde{\alpha} = \alpha e^{-i\omega t}. \tag{110}$$

Thus in the process of evolution the initial coherent state of the harmonic oscillator preserves the property to be the eigenvector of the annihilation operator, but its eigenvalue has the time–dependent phase factor corresponding to the classical motion of the oscillator in its phase space due to formulae (85), (86). It means that in the process of evolution dispersions of quadratures do not depend on time and no quadrature correlation appears due to the evolution. Having this result it is easy to obtain the oscillator propagator in the coherent state basis. We have by definition

$$\begin{aligned} G(\alpha^*,\beta,t) =< \alpha|U(t)|\beta > &= e^{-i\omega t/2} < \alpha|\beta e^{-i\omega t} > \\ &= e^{-i\omega t/2}\exp[-\frac{|\alpha|^2}{2} - \frac{|\beta|^2}{2} + \alpha^*\beta e^{-i\omega t}]. \end{aligned} \tag{111}$$

Using the property of coherent states to be the generating function for number states and expanding the function $\exp(\frac{|\alpha|^2}{2} + \frac{|\beta|^2}{2})\ G(\ \alpha^*,\ \beta,\ t)$ into the power series in variables $\alpha^*,\ \beta$ we obtain the obvious expression for the oscillator propagator in the Fock basis

$$G(n,m,t) = \delta_{nm}e^{-i\omega t(n+1/2)}. \tag{112}$$

10 Squeezing in Parametric Oscillator

For the parametric oscillator with the Hamiltonian

$$H = -\frac{\partial^2}{2\partial x^2} + \frac{\omega^2(t)x^2}{2}, \tag{113}$$

where we take $\hbar = \ m = \ \omega(0) = \ 1$, there exists the time–dependent integral of motion found in [4]

$$A = \frac{i}{\sqrt{2}}[\varepsilon(t)p - \dot{\varepsilon}(t)x], \tag{114}$$

where

$$\ddot{\varepsilon}(t) + \omega^2(t)\varepsilon(t) = 0, \qquad \varepsilon(0) = 1, \qquad \dot{\varepsilon}(0) = i, \tag{115}$$

satisfying the commutation relation

$$[A,\ A\dagger] = 1. \tag{116}$$

It is easy to show that packet solutions of the Schrödinger equation may be introduced and interpreted as coherent states [4], since they are eigenstates of the operator A (114), of the form

$$\Psi_\alpha(x,t) = \Psi_0(x,t)\exp\{-\frac{|\alpha|^2}{2} - \frac{\alpha^2\varepsilon^*(t)}{2\varepsilon(t)} + \frac{\sqrt{2}\alpha x}{\varepsilon}\}, \tag{117}$$

where

$$\Psi_0(x,t) = \pi^{-1/4}\varepsilon(t)^{-1/2}\exp\frac{i\dot{\varepsilon}(t)x^2}{2\varepsilon(t)} \tag{118}$$

is analog of the ground state of the oscillator and α is a complex number. Variances of the position and momentum of the parametric oscillator in the state (118) are

$$\sigma_x = \frac{|\varepsilon(t)|^2}{2}, \qquad \sigma_p = \frac{|\dot{\varepsilon}(t)|^2}{2}, \tag{119}$$

and the correlation coefficient r of the position and momentum has the value corresponding to minimization of the Schrödinger uncertainty relation [13]

$$\sigma_x\sigma_p = \frac{1}{4}\frac{1}{1-r^2}. \tag{120}$$

If $\sigma_x < 1/2$ $(\sigma_p < 1/2)$ we have squeezing in photon quadrature components.

The analogs of orthogonal and complete system of states which are excited states of stationary oscillator are obtained by expansion of (117) into power series in α. We have

$$\Psi_m(x,t) = \left(\frac{\varepsilon^*(t)}{2\varepsilon(t)}\right)^{m/2}\frac{1}{\sqrt{m!}}\Psi_0(x,t)H_m\left(\frac{x}{|\varepsilon(t)|}\right), \tag{121}$$

and these squeezed and correlated number states are eigenstates of invariant $A^\dagger A$.

The function (118) describes the squeezed vacuum state. The photon distribution function in the squeezed vacuum is expressed in terms of the overlap integral

$$W(n) = |C(n)|^2 = |\int_\infty^\infty \psi_0^*(x,t)\psi_n(x)\ dx|^2, \tag{122}$$

where the function $\psi_n(x)$ is the wave function of the n–photon state (107). The amplitude $C(n)$ can be calculated using the overlap integral

$$\phi(\alpha) = \int_\infty^\infty \psi_0^*(x,t)e^{|\alpha|^2/2}\psi_\alpha(x)\ dx, \tag{123}$$

which is generating function for the amplitudes $C(n)$

$$\phi(\alpha) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\alpha^n}{\sqrt{n!}} C_n, \tag{124}$$

since according to Eq. (78) the wave function of the coherent state $e^{|\alpha|^2/2}\psi_\alpha(x)$ (73) is the generating function for the n–photon state. The Gaussian integral (123) is (we have $\hbar = m = \omega = 1$)

$$\phi_\alpha = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{dx}{\sqrt{\pi\varepsilon^*}} \exp[-\frac{\alpha^2}{2} - \frac{1}{2}(1 + \frac{i\dot{\varepsilon}^*}{\varepsilon^*})x^2 + \sqrt{2}\alpha x], \tag{125}$$

and using formula (82) we obtain

$$\phi_\alpha = C(0) \exp \alpha^2 \mu, \tag{126}$$

where

$$C(0) = \sqrt{\frac{2}{\varepsilon^* + i\dot{\varepsilon}^*}}, \qquad \mu = \frac{\varepsilon^* - i\dot{\varepsilon}^*}{2(\varepsilon^* + i\dot{\varepsilon}^*)}. \tag{127}$$

The probability to have no photons is

$$W(0) = \frac{2}{\sqrt{|\varepsilon|^2 + |\dot{\varepsilon}|^2 + 2}}. \tag{128}$$

Expanding Eq. (125) into power series in α we obtain the result that the probability to have the odd number of photons $n = 2m + 1$ is equal to zero

$$W(2m + 1) = 0, \tag{129}$$

and the probability to have even number of photons $n = 2m$ equals

$$W(2m) = W(0)\frac{(2m)!}{(m!)^2}|\mu|^{2m}. \tag{130}$$

The partial cases of parametric oscillator are free motion ($\omega(t) = 0$), starionary harmonic oscillator ($\omega^2(t) = 1$), and repulsive oscillator ($\omega^2(t) = -1$). The obtained above solutions are described by the function $\varepsilon(t)$ which is equal to $\varepsilon(t) = 1 + it$, for free particle, $\varepsilon(t) = e^{it}$, for usual oscillator, and $\varepsilon(t) = \cosh t + i \sinh t$, for repulsive oscillator.

If one introduces the squeezing parameter r (for zero correlation) according to

$$|\varepsilon| = e^{-r}, \qquad |\dot{\varepsilon}| = e^{r}, \tag{131}$$

the distribution (130) may be rewritten as

$$W(2m) = \frac{1}{\cosh r}\frac{(2m)!}{(m!)^2}(\frac{\tanh r}{2})^{2m}. \tag{132}$$

Another normalized solution to the Schrödinger equation

$$\Psi_{\alpha m}(x,t) = 2N_m \Psi_0(x,t) \exp\{-\frac{|\alpha|^2}{2} - \frac{\varepsilon^*(t)\alpha^2}{2\varepsilon(t)}\} \cosh \frac{\sqrt{2}\alpha x}{\varepsilon(t)}, \tag{133}$$

where

$$N_m = \frac{\exp(|\alpha|^2/2)}{2\sqrt{\cosh|\alpha|^2}}, \tag{134}$$

is the even coherent state [14] (the Schrödinger cat male state). The odd coherent state of the parametric oscillator (Schrödinger cat female state)

$$\Psi_{\alpha f}(x,t) = 2N_f \Psi_0(x,t) \exp\{-\frac{|\alpha|^2}{2} - \frac{\varepsilon^*(t)\alpha^2}{2\varepsilon(t)}\} \sinh \frac{\sqrt{2}\alpha x}{\varepsilon(t)}, \tag{135}$$

where

$$N_f = \frac{\exp(|\alpha|^2/2)}{2\sqrt{\sinh|\alpha|^2}}, \tag{136}$$

satisfies the Schrödinger equation and is the eigenstate of the integral of motion A^2 (as well as the even coherent state) with the eigenvalue α^2. These states are one–mode examples of squeezed and correlated Schrödinger cat states constructed in [15].

11 Wigner and Q–functions

Let us discuss now other possible descriptions of the quantum state. There exist two important functions which we describe for multimode system with N degrees of freedom. The Wigner function of a system $W(\mathbf{p},\mathbf{q}) = W(\mathbf{Q})$ is expressed in terms of density matrix in dimensionless coordinate representation as (see, [16])

$$W(\mathbf{p},\mathbf{q}) = \int \rho(\mathbf{q}+\frac{\mathbf{u}}{2},\ \mathbf{q}-\frac{\mathbf{u}}{2}) \exp(-i\mathbf{p}\mathbf{u})\ d\mathbf{u}. \tag{137}$$

The inverse transform is

$$\rho(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{x}') = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^N} \int W(\frac{\mathbf{x}+\mathbf{x}'}{2},\ \mathbf{p}) \exp[i\mathbf{p}(\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{x}')]\ d\mathbf{p}. \tag{138}$$

The Q–function [17] is expressed in terms of Wigner function through the 3N–dimensional integral transform

$$Q(\mathbf{B}) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^N} \int \Phi_{\mathbf{B}}(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{x}',\mathbf{p}) W(\frac{\mathbf{x}+\mathbf{x}'}{2},\mathbf{p})\ d\mathbf{x}\ d\mathbf{x}'\ d\mathbf{p}, \tag{139}$$

with the kernel

$$\Phi_{\mathbf{B}}(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{x}',\mathbf{p}) = \pi^{-N/2} \exp[i\mathbf{p}(\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{x}') - \frac{1}{2}\mathbf{B}(\sigma_{Nx}+\mathbf{I}_{2N})\mathbf{B} - \frac{\mathbf{X}^2}{2} + \sqrt{2}\mathbf{B}\sigma_{Nx}\mathbf{X}], \tag{140}$$

where the 2N–vector $\mathbf{X} = (\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}')$ is introduced. If one has the Q–function the Wigner function is given by the integral transform

$$W(\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{q}) = \frac{1}{\pi^{2N}} \int \{ \prod_{k=1}^{N} d^2\beta_k \; d^2\gamma_k \; du_k \widetilde{\Phi}_k(u_k, \widetilde{\mathbf{B}}) \} Q(\widetilde{\mathbf{B}}), \tag{141}$$

where the argument of the Q–function $\mathbf{B}$ is replaced by the 2N–vector with complex components

$$\widetilde{\mathbf{B}} = (\; \beta_1, \; \beta_2, \; \ldots, \; \beta_N, \; \gamma_1^*, \; \gamma_2^*, \; \ldots, \; \gamma_N^*),$$

and the kernel has the form

$$\begin{aligned} \widetilde{\Phi}_k(u_k, \widetilde{\mathbf{B}}) &= \pi^{-1/2} \exp[-|\beta_k|^2 - |\gamma_k|^2 + \sqrt{2}(q_k + \frac{u_k}{2})\beta_k + \sqrt{2}(q_k - \frac{u_k}{2})\gamma_k^* \\ &- \frac{1}{2}(q_k + \frac{u_k}{2})^2 - \frac{1}{2}(q_k - \frac{u_k}{2})^2 - \frac{\beta_k^2}{2} - \frac{\gamma_k^{*2}}{2} - ip_k u_k + \gamma_k \beta_k^*]. \end{aligned} \tag{142}$$

The density matrix in coordinate representation is related to the Q–function

$$\rho(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}') = \pi^{-2N} \int \{ \prod_{k=1}^{N} d^2\beta_k \; d^2\gamma_k \phi_k(\widetilde{\mathbf{B}}) \exp[-\frac{1}{2}(x_k^2 + x_k'^2)] \} Q(\widetilde{\mathbf{B}}), \tag{143}$$

where the kernel of the transform is

$$\phi_k(\widetilde{\mathbf{B}}) = \pi^{-1/2} \exp[-|\beta_k|^2 - |\gamma_k|^2 + \sqrt{2}x_k\beta_k + \sqrt{2}x_k'\gamma_k^* - \frac{\beta_k^2}{2} - \frac{\gamma_k^{*2}}{2} + \gamma_k\beta_k^*]. \tag{144}$$

The evolution of the Wigner function and Q–function for systems with quadratic Hamiltonians for any state is given by the following prescription. Given the Wigner function $W(\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{q}, t = 0)$ for the initial moment of time $t = 0$. Then the Wigner function for time t is obtained by the replacement

$$W(\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{q}, t) = \; W(\mathbf{p}(t), \; \mathbf{q}(t), \; t = 0),$$

where time–dependent arguments are linear integrals of motion of the quadratic system found in [1], [9] and [18]. The same ansatz is used for the Q–function. Namely, given the Q–function of the quadratic system $Q(\mathbf{B}, \; (t = 0))$ for the initial moment of time $t = 0$. Then the Q–function for time t is given by the replacement

$$Q(\mathbf{B}, \; t) = \; Q(\mathbf{B}(t), \; t = 0),$$

where the 2N–vector $\mathbf{B}(t)$ is the integral of motion linear in the annihilation and creation operators found in [1], [9] and [18]. This ansatz follows from the statement that the density operator of the Hamiltonian system is the integral of motion, and its matrix elements in any basis must depend on appropriate integrals of motion. In particular, the Wigner function and Q–function depend just on linear invariants found in [1], [9] and [18].

As an example we consider the Wigner function of the oscillator ground state with the density matrix in coordinate representation (we reconstruct below the dimensionality of variables)

$$\rho_0(x,x') = \sqrt{\frac{m\omega}{\hbar\pi}}\exp[-\frac{1}{2}\frac{m\omega}{\hbar}(x^2+x'^2)]. \tag{145}$$

Using the definition of the Wigner function we have

$$W_0(p,q) = \sqrt{\frac{m\omega}{\hbar\pi}}\int_{-\infty}^{\infty}\exp\{-\frac{1}{2}\frac{m\omega}{\hbar}[(q-\frac{u}{2})^2+(q+\frac{u}{2})^2]-\frac{ipu}{\hbar}\}\,du, \tag{146}$$

and calculating the Gaussian integral we obtain

$$W_0(p,q) = 2\exp(-\frac{p^2}{\hbar m\omega}-\frac{q^2 m\omega}{\hbar}). \tag{147}$$

The Husimi Q–function equals

$$\begin{aligned} Q_0(p,q) &= <\beta|0><0|\beta> = \exp(-\frac{p^2}{2\hbar m\omega}-\frac{q^2 m\omega}{2\hbar}), \\ \beta &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(q\sqrt{\frac{m\omega}{\hbar}}+i\frac{p}{\sqrt{\hbar m\omega}}). \end{aligned} \tag{148}$$

Since Q–function is the diagonal matrix element of the density operator in coherent state basis it is easy to obtain the Q–function of the thermal equilibrium state of the oscillator at temperature T with density operator

$$\rho(T) = 2\sinh\frac{\hbar\omega}{2T}\exp[-\frac{\hbar\omega}{T}(a\dagger a+\frac{1}{2})]. \tag{149}$$

The operator $Z\rho(T)$, where Z is the partition function

$$Z = (2\sinh\frac{\hbar\omega}{2T})^{-1}, \tag{150}$$

coincides with the evolution operator $U(t)$ if one takes $it = \hbar/T$. This equality gives in coherent state basis the Q–function of the oscillator at temperature T

$$Q_T(q,p) = 2\sinh\frac{\hbar\omega}{2T}\exp\{-\frac{\hbar\omega}{2T}-\frac{1}{2}(\frac{p^2}{\hbar\omega m}+\frac{q^2 m\omega}{\hbar})[1-\exp(-\frac{\hbar\omega}{T})]\}, \tag{151}$$

if one uses formula (111) for the oscillator propagator in coherent state basis

$$|\beta> = |q\sqrt{\frac{m\omega}{2\hbar}}+i\frac{p}{\sqrt{2\hbar m\omega}}> .$$

12 Multimode Mixed Correlated Light

The most general mixed squeezed state of the N–mode light with a Gaussian density operator $\hat{\rho}$ is described by the Wigner function $W(\mathbf{p},\mathbf{q})$ of the generic Gaussian form,

$$W(\mathbf{p},\mathbf{q}) = (\det \mathbf{M})^{-\frac{1}{2}} \exp\left[-\frac{1}{2}(\mathbf{Q}- < \mathbf{Q} >)\mathbf{M}^{-1}(\mathbf{Q}- < \mathbf{Q} >)\right], \tag{152}$$

where 2N–dimensional vector $\mathbf{Q} = (\mathbf{p},\mathbf{q})$ consists of N components $p_1, \ \ldots, \ p_N$ and N components $q_1, \ \ldots, \ q_N$; operators $\hat{\mathbf{p}}$ and $\hat{\mathbf{q}}$ being quadrature components of the photon creation $\hat{\mathbf{a}}\dagger$ and annihilation $\hat{\mathbf{a}}$ operators (we use dimensionless variables and assume $\hbar = 1$):

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{\mathbf{p}} &= \frac{\hat{\mathbf{a}} - \hat{\mathbf{a}}\dagger}{i\sqrt{2}}, \\ \hat{\mathbf{q}} &= \frac{\hat{\mathbf{a}} + \hat{\mathbf{a}}\dagger}{\sqrt{2}}. \end{aligned} \tag{153}$$

2N parameters $< p_i >$ and $< q_i >$, $i = 1, 2, \ldots, N$, combined into vector $< \mathbf{Q} >$, are average values of quadratures,

$$\begin{aligned} < \mathbf{p} > &= \text{Tr } \hat{\rho}\hat{\mathbf{p}}, \\ < \mathbf{q} > &= \text{Tr } \hat{\rho}\hat{\mathbf{q}}. \end{aligned} \tag{154}$$

A real symmetric dispersion matrix $\mathbf{M}$ consists of $2N^2$+N variances

$$\mathcal{M}_{\alpha\beta} = \frac{1}{2}\left\langle \hat{Q}_\alpha \hat{Q}_\beta + \hat{Q}_\beta \hat{Q}_\alpha \right\rangle - \left\langle \hat{Q}_\alpha \right\rangle \left\langle \hat{Q}_\beta \right\rangle, \qquad \alpha, \beta = 1, 2, \ldots, 2N. \tag{155}$$

They obey certain constraints, which are nothing but generalized uncertainty relations [1].

The photon distribution function of this state

$$\mathcal{P}_{\mathbf{n}} = \text{Tr } \hat{\rho}|\mathbf{n} >< \mathbf{n}|, \qquad \mathbf{n} = (n_1, n_2, \ldots, n_N), \tag{156}$$

where the state $|\mathbf{n} >$ is photon number state, which was calculated in [19], [20] and it is

$$\mathcal{P}_{\mathbf{n}} = \mathcal{P}_0 \frac{H^{\{\mathbf{R}\}}_{\mathbf{nn}}(\mathbf{y})}{\mathbf{n}!}. \tag{157}$$

The function $H^{\{\mathbf{R}\}}_{\mathbf{nn}}(\mathbf{y})$ is multidimensional Hermite polynomial. The probability to have no photons is

$$\mathcal{P}_0 = \left[\det\left(\mathbf{M} + \frac{1}{2}\mathbf{I}_{2N}\right)\right]^{-\frac{1}{2}} \exp\left[- < \mathbf{Q} > (2\mathbf{M} + \mathbf{I}_{2N})^{-1} < \mathbf{Q} >\right], \tag{158}$$

where we introduced the matrix

$$\mathbf{R} = 2\mathbf{U}^{\dagger}(1 + 2\mathbf{M})^{-1}\mathbf{U}^{*} - \sigma_{Nx}, \tag{159}$$

and the matrix

$$\sigma_{Nx} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \mathbf{I}_N \\ \mathbf{I}_N & 0 \end{pmatrix}. \tag{160}$$

The argument of Hermite polynomial is

$$\mathbf{y} = 2\mathbf{U}^{t}(\mathbf{I}_{2N} - 2\mathbf{M})^{-1} < \mathbf{Q} >, \tag{161}$$

and the 2N–dimensional unitary matrix

$$\mathbf{U} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} -i\mathbf{I}_N & i\mathbf{I}_N \\ \mathbf{I}_N & \mathbf{I}_N \end{pmatrix} \tag{162}$$

is introduced, in which $\mathbf{I}_N$ is the N×N identity matrix. Also we use the notation

$$\mathbf{n}! = n_1! n_2! \cdots n_N!.$$

The mean photon number for j–th mode is expressed in terms of photon quadrature means and dispersions

$$< n_j >= \frac{1}{2}(\sigma_{p_j p_j} + \sigma_{q_j q_j} - 1) + \frac{1}{2}(< p_j >^2 + < q_j^2 >). \tag{163}$$

We introduce a complex 2N–vector $\mathbf{B} = (\beta_1,\ \beta_2,\ \ldots,\ \beta_N,\ \beta_1^*,\ \beta_2^*,\ \ldots,\ \beta_N^*)$. Then the Q–function [17] is the diagonal matrix element of the density operator in coherent state basis $\mid \beta_1,\ \beta_2,\ \ldots,\ \beta_N >$. This function is the generating function for matrix elements of the density operator in the Fock basis $|\mathbf{n}>$ which has been calculated in [20]. In notations corresponding to the Wigner function (152) the Q–function is

$$Q(\mathbf{B}) = \mathcal{P}_0 \exp[-\frac{1}{2}\mathbf{B}(R + \sigma_{Nx})\mathbf{B} + \mathbf{B}R\mathbf{y}]. \tag{164}$$

Thus, if the Wigner function (152) is given one has the Q–function. Also, if one has the Q–function (164), i.e. the matrix R and the vector $\mathbf{y}$, the Wigner function may be obtained due to relations

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{M} &= \mathbf{U}^{*}(R + \sigma_{Nx})^{-1}\mathbf{U}^{\dagger} - \frac{1}{2}, \\ < \mathbf{Q} > &= \mathbf{U}^{*}[1 - (R + \sigma_{Nx})^{-1}\sigma_{Nx}]\mathbf{y}. \end{aligned} \tag{165}$$

For pure squeezed and correlated state with the wave function

$$\Psi = N \exp[-\mathbf{x}m\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{c}\mathbf{x}], \tag{166}$$

where

$$N = [\det(m + m^*)]^{1/4} \pi^{-N/4} \exp\{\frac{1}{4}(\mathbf{c} + \mathbf{c}^*)(m + m^*)^{-1}(\mathbf{c} + \mathbf{c}^*)\}, \tag{167}$$

the symmetric 2N×2N–matrix R determining Q–function has the block–diagonal form

$$R = \begin{pmatrix} r & 0 \\ 0 & r^* \end{pmatrix}. \tag{168}$$

The N×N–matrix r is expressed in terms of symmetric matrix m

$$r^* = 1 - (m + 1/2)^{-1}, \tag{169}$$

and the 2N–vector $\mathbf{y} = (\mathbf{Y}, \mathbf{Y}^*)$ is given by the relation

$$\mathbf{Y}^* = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(m - 1/2)^{-1}\mathbf{c}. \tag{170}$$

Corresponding blocks of the dispersion matrix

$$\mathbf{M} = \begin{pmatrix} \sigma_{\mathbf{pp}} & \sigma_{\mathbf{pq}} \\ \widetilde{\sigma}_{\mathbf{pq}} & \sigma_{\mathbf{qq}} \end{pmatrix} \tag{171}$$

are

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_{\mathbf{pp}} &= 2(m^{-1} + m^{*-1})^{-1}, \\ \sigma_{\mathbf{qq}} &= \frac{1}{2}(m + m^*)^{-1}, \\ \sigma_{\mathbf{pq}} &= \frac{i}{2}(m - m^*)(m + m^*)^{-1}. \end{aligned} \tag{172}$$

The probability to have no photons is

$$P_0 = \frac{[\det(m + m^*)]^{1/2}}{|\det(m + 1/2)|}$$

$$\otimes \exp\{\frac{1}{2}(\mathbf{c} + \mathbf{c}^*)(m + m^*)^{-1}(\mathbf{c} + \mathbf{c}^*) + \frac{1}{4}[\mathbf{c}(m + 1/2)^{-1}\mathbf{c} + \mathbf{c}^*(m^* + 1/2)^{-1}\mathbf{c}^*]\}. \tag{173}$$

Multivariable Hermite polynomials describe the photon distribution function for the multimode mixed and pure correlated light [19], [21], [22]. The nonclassical state of light may be created due to nonstationary Casimir effect [23], and the multimode oscillator is the model to describe the behaviour of squeezed and correlated photons.

13 Multivariable Hermite Polynomials

For parametric forced oscillator, the transition amplitude between its energy levels has been calculated as overlap integral of two generic Hermite polynomials with a Gaussian function (Frank–Condon factor) and expressed in terms of Hermite polynomials of two variables [4]. For N–mode parametric oscillator, the analogous amplitude has been expressed in terms of Hermite polynomials of 2N variables, i.e. the overlap integral of two generic Hermite polynomials of N variables with a Gaussian function (Frank–Condon factor for a polyatomic molecule) has been evaluated in [9], [18]. The corresponding result uses the formula

$$(\mathbf{n} = n_1, n_2, \ldots, n_N, \qquad \mathbf{m} = m_1, m_2, \ldots m_N, \qquad m_i, n_i = 0, 1, \ldots)$$

$$\int H_{\mathbf{n}}^{\{R\}}(\mathbf{x}) H_{\mathbf{m}}^{\{r\}}(\Lambda\mathbf{x}+\mathbf{d}) \exp(-\mathbf{x}m\mathbf{x}+\mathbf{c}\mathbf{x}) d\mathbf{x} = \frac{\pi^{N/2}}{\sqrt{\det m}} \exp(\frac{1}{4}\mathbf{c}m^{-1}\mathbf{c}) H_{\mathbf{mn}}^{\{\rho\}}(\mathbf{y}), \quad (174)$$

where the symmetric 2N×2N–matrix

$$\rho = \begin{pmatrix} R_1 & R_{12} \\ \widetilde{R}_{12} & R_2 \end{pmatrix} \quad (175)$$

with N×N–blocks $R_1,\ R_2,\ R_{12}$ is expressed in terms of symmetric N×N–matrices $R,\ r,\ m$ and N×N–matrix Λ in the form

$$\begin{aligned} R_1 &= R - \frac{1}{2} R m^{-1} R, \\ R_2 &= r - \frac{1}{2} r \Lambda m^{-1} \widetilde{\Lambda} r, \\ \widetilde{R}_{12} &= -\frac{1}{2} r \Lambda m^{-1} R. \end{aligned} \quad (176)$$

Here the matrix $\widetilde{\Lambda}$ is transposed matrix Λ, and $\widetilde{R}_{12}$ is transposed matrix R_{12}. The 2N–vector $\mathbf{y}$ is expressed in terms of N–vectors $\mathbf{c}$ and $\mathbf{d}$ in the form

$$\mathbf{y} = \rho^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{y}_1 \\ \mathbf{y}_2 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (177)$$

where N–vectors $\mathbf{y}_1$ and $\mathbf{y}_2$ are

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{y}_1 &= \frac{1}{4}(R m^{-1} + m^{-1} R)\mathbf{c} \\ \mathbf{y}_2 &= \frac{1}{4}(r \Lambda m^{-1} + m^{-1} \widetilde{\Lambda} r)\mathbf{c} + r\mathbf{d}. \end{aligned} \quad (178)$$

For matrices $R = 2,\ r = 2$, the above formula (174) yields

$$\int \{\prod_{i=1}^{N} H_{n_i}(x_i) H_{m_i}(\sum_{k=1}^{N} \Lambda_{ik} x_k + d_i)\} \exp(-\mathbf{x}m\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{c}\mathbf{x}) d\mathbf{x}$$

$$= \frac{\pi^{N/2}}{\sqrt{\det m}} \exp(\frac{1}{4}\mathbf{c}m^{-1}\mathbf{c}) H^{\{\rho\}}_{\mathbf{mn}}(\mathbf{y}), \tag{179}$$

with N×N–blocks $R_1,\ R_2,\ R_{12}$ expressed in terms of N×N–matrices m and Λ in the form

$$\begin{aligned} R_1 &= 2(1 - m^{-1}), \\ R_2 &= 2(1 - \Lambda m^{-1}\widetilde{\Lambda}), \\ \widetilde{R}_{12} &= -2\Lambda m^{-1}. \end{aligned} \tag{180}$$

The 2N–vector $\mathbf{y}$ is expressed in terms of N–vectors $\mathbf{c}$ and $\mathbf{d}$ in the form (177) with

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{y}_1 &= m^{-1}\mathbf{c}, \\ \mathbf{y}_2 &= \frac{1}{2}(\Lambda m^{-1} + m^{-1}\widetilde{\Lambda})\mathbf{c} + 2\mathbf{d}. \end{aligned} \tag{181}$$

If the symmetric matrix ρ has the block–diagonal structure

$$\rho = \begin{pmatrix} R_1 & 0 \\ 0 & R_2 \end{pmatrix},$$

with the symmetric S×S–matrix R_1 and the symmetric (2N-S)×(2N-S)–matrix R_2, the multivariable Hermite polynomial is represented as the product of two Hermite polynomials depending on S and 2N-S variables, respectively,

$$H^{\{\rho\}}_{\mathbf{k}}(\mathbf{y}) = H^{\{\mathbf{R}_1\}}_{\mathbf{n}_S}(\mathbf{y}_1) H^{\{\mathbf{R}_2\}}_{\mathbf{n}_{2N-S}}(\mathbf{y}_2),$$

where the 2N–vector $\mathbf{y}$ has vector–components

$$\mathbf{y} = (\ \mathbf{y}_1,\ \mathbf{y}_2),$$

and the 2N–vector $\mathbf{k}$ has components

$$\mathbf{k} = (\mathbf{n}_S,\ \mathbf{n}_{2N-S}) = (\ n_1,\ \ \ldots,\ n_S,\ n_{S+1},\ \ \ldots,\ n_{2N}).$$

The partial case of this relation is the relation for Hermite polynomials with the matrix R with complex conjugate blocks $R_1 = r,\ \ R_2 = r^*$, and complex conjugate vector–components $\mathbf{y}_1 = \mathbf{y}_2^*$

$$H^{\{\rho\}}_{\mathbf{k}}(\mathbf{y}) = |H^{\{\mathbf{R}_1\}}_{\mathbf{n}_S}(\mathbf{y}_1)|^2, \quad S = N.$$

The calculated integrals are important to evaluate the Green function or density matrix for systems with quadratic Hamiltonians. Partial cases of multivariable Hermite polynomials determine some other special functions [21], [22].

14 Multimode Even and Odd Coherent States

We define multimode even and odd coherent states (Schrödinger cat male states and Schrödinger cat female states, respectively) as [24]

$$\mid \mathbf{A}_{\pm} >= N_{\pm}(\mid \mathbf{A} > \pm \mid -\mathbf{A} >), \tag{182}$$

where the multimode coherent state $\mid \mathbf{A} >$ is

$$\mid \mathbf{A} >=\mid \alpha_1,\ \alpha_2,\ \ldots,\ \alpha_n >= D(\mathbf{A}) \mid \mathbf{0} >, \tag{183}$$

and the multimode coherent state is created from the multimode vacuum state $\mid \mathbf{0} >$ by the multimode displacement operator $D(\mathbf{A})$. The definition of multimode even and odd coherent states is the obvious generalization of the one–mode even and odd coherent state given in [2], [14]. Normalization constants for multimode even and odd coherent states become

$$\begin{aligned} N_{+} &= \frac{e^{|\mathbf{A}|^2/2}}{2\sqrt{\cosh \mid \mathbf{A} \mid^2}}, \\ N_{-} &= \frac{e^{|\mathbf{A}|^2/2}}{2\sqrt{\sinh \mid \mathbf{A} \mid^2}}, \end{aligned} \tag{184}$$

where $\mathbf{A} = (\ \alpha_1,\ \alpha_2,\ \ldots,\ \alpha_n)$ is a complex vector and its modulus is

$$\mid \mathbf{A} \mid^2 = \sum_{m=1}^{n} \mid \alpha_m \mid^2 . \tag{185}$$

Such multimode even and odd coherent states can be decomposed into multimode number states as

$$\mid \mathbf{A}_{\pm} >= N_{\pm} \sum_{\mathbf{n}} \frac{e^{-|\mathbf{A}|^2/2} \alpha_1^{n_1} \cdots \alpha_n^{n_n}}{\sqrt{n_1!} \cdots \sqrt{n_n!}} (1 \pm (-1)^{n_1+n_2+\cdots n_n}) \mid \mathbf{n} >, \tag{186}$$

where $\mid \mathbf{n} >= \mid n_1,\ n_2,\ \ldots,\ n_n >$ is multimode number state. Also from Eq. (182), we can derive an important relation for the multimode even and odd coherent states, namely,

$$\begin{aligned} a_i \mid \mathbf{A}_{+} > &= \alpha_i \sqrt{\tanh \mid \mathbf{A} \mid^2} \mid \mathbf{A}_{-} >, \\ a_i \mid \mathbf{A}_{-} > &= \alpha_i \sqrt{\coth \mid \mathbf{A} \mid^2} \mid \mathbf{A}_{+} > . \end{aligned} \tag{187}$$

The probability of finding $\mathbf{n}$ photons in multimode even and odd coherent states can be worked out with the help of Eq. (186)

$$\begin{aligned} P_{+}(\mathbf{n}) &= \frac{\mid \alpha_1 \mid^{2n_1} \mid \alpha_2 \mid^{2n_2} \cdots \mid \alpha_n \mid^{2n_n}}{(n_1!)(n_2!) \cdots (n_n!) \cosh \mid \mathbf{A} \mid^2}, \quad n_1 + n_2 + \cdots + n_n = 2k, \\ P_{-}(\mathbf{n}) &= \frac{\mid \alpha_1 \mid^{2n_1} \mid \alpha_2 \mid^{2n_2} \cdots \mid \alpha_n \mid^{2n_n}}{(n_1!)(n_2!) \cdots (n_n!) \sinh \mid \mathbf{A} \mid^2}, \quad n_1 + n_2 + \cdots + n_n = 2k+1. \end{aligned} \tag{188}$$

Multimode coherent states are the product of independent coherent states of each mode, and photon distribution function is the product of independent Poissonian distribution functions. But in the present case of multimode even and odd coherent states we cannot factorize their multimode photon distribution functions due to the presence of the nonfactorizable $\cosh \mid \mathbf{A} \mid^2$ and $\sinh \mid \mathbf{A} \mid^2$. This fact implies the phenomenon of statistical dependences of different modes of these states on each other.

In order to describe properties of distribution functions from Eq. (188) we will calculate the symmetric 2N×2N dispersion matrix for multimode field quadrature components. For even and odd coherent states, we have

$$< \mathbf{A}_{\pm} \mid a_i a_k \mid \mathbf{A}_{\pm} >= \alpha_i \alpha_k, \tag{189}$$

and complex conjugate values of the above equation for $< \mathbf{A}_{\pm} \mid a_i^{\dagger} a_k^{\dagger} \mid \mathbf{A}_{\pm} >$. Since the quantity $< \mathbf{A}_{\pm} \mid a_i \mid \mathbf{A}_{\pm} >$ is equal to zero the above equation gives two N×N blocks of the dispersion matrix. For other two N×N blocks of this matrix, we have for multimode even coherent states

$$\sigma^{+}_{(a_i^{\dagger} a_k)} =< \mathbf{A}_{+} \mid \frac{1}{2}(a_i^{\dagger} a_k + a_k a_i^{\dagger}) \mid \mathbf{A}_{+} >= \alpha_i^{*} \alpha_k \tanh \mid \mathbf{A} \mid^2 + \frac{1}{2}\delta_{ik}, \tag{190}$$

and for multimode odd coherent states

$$\sigma^{-}_{(a_i^{\dagger} a_k)} =< \mathbf{A}_{-} \mid \frac{1}{2}(a_i^{\dagger} a_k + a_k a_i^{\dagger}) \mid \mathbf{A}_{-} >= \alpha_i^{*} \alpha_k \coth \mid \mathbf{A} \mid^2 + \frac{1}{2}\delta_{ik}. \tag{191}$$

For the dispersion matrix, the mean values of the photon numbers $n_i = a_i^{\dagger} a_i$ for multimode even and odd coherent states are the following

$$\begin{aligned} < \mathbf{A}_{+} \mid n_i \mid \mathbf{A}_{+} > &= \mid \alpha_i \mid^2 \tanh \mid \mathbf{A} \mid^2, \\ < \mathbf{A}_{-} \mid n_i \mid \mathbf{A}_{-} > &= \mid \alpha_i \mid^2 \coth \mid \mathbf{A} \mid^2 . \end{aligned} \tag{192}$$

Taking into account the above equation the symmetric N×N dispersion matrices for photon number operators can be obtained from the above given distribution functions for multimode even and odd coherent states. By defining

$$\sigma^{\pm}_{ik} =< \mathbf{A}_{\pm} \mid n_i n_k \mid \mathbf{A}_{\pm} >, \tag{193}$$

corresponding expressions in such states are

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma^{+}_{ik} &= \mid \alpha_i \mid^2 \mid \alpha_k \mid^2 \operatorname{sech}^2 \mid \mathbf{A} \mid^2 + \mid \alpha_i \mid^2 \tanh \mid \mathbf{A} \mid^2 \delta_{ik}, \\ \sigma^{-}_{ik} &= - \mid \alpha_i \mid^2 \mid \alpha_k \mid^2 \operatorname{csch}^2 \mid \mathbf{A} \mid^2 + \mid \alpha_i \mid^2 \coth \mid \mathbf{A} \mid^2 \delta_{ik}. \end{aligned} \tag{194}$$

As nondiagonal matrix elements of the dispersion density matrix are not equal to zero, we can predict that different modes of these states are correlated with each other. In

other words, as we have mentioned before, there exist some statistical dependences of different modes on each other.

Another interesting property for multimode even and odd coherent states is the Q–function, and it can be obtained in the following manner. First of all the density matrices for multimode even and odd coherent states are

$$\rho_{\pm} =\mid \mathbf{A}_{\pm} >< \mathbf{A}_{\pm} \mid, \tag{195}$$

then the Q–function can be calculated as

$$\begin{aligned} Q_{+}(\mathbf{B},\mathbf{B}^{*}) &= < \mathbf{B} \mid \rho_{+} \mid \mathbf{B} > \\ &= 4N_{+}^{2}e^{-(|\mathbf{A}|^{2}+|\mathbf{B}|^{2})} \mid \cosh(\mathbf{A}\mathbf{B}^{*}) \mid^{2}, \\ Q_{-}(\mathbf{B},\mathbf{B}^{*}) &= < \mathbf{B} \mid \rho_{-} \mid \mathbf{B} > \\ &= 4N_{-}^{2}e^{-(|\mathbf{A}|^{2}+|\mathbf{B}|^{2})} \mid \sinh(\mathbf{A}\mathbf{B}^{*}) \mid^{2}, \end{aligned} \tag{196}$$

where $\mid \mathbf{B} >=\mid \beta_1,\ \beta_2,\ \ldots,\ \beta_n >$ is another multimode coherent state with multimode eigenvalue $\mathbf{B} = (\beta_1,\ \beta_2,\ \ldots,\ \beta_n)$. We call these functions for even and odd coherent states as the Q–functions for Schrödinger cat states. The Q–function for single–mode odd coherent state shows the crater type behaviour for small values of the quantity $\mid \alpha \mid$ and for its larger values the Q–function begins to split into two peaks in a similar manner as in case of even coherent states [24].

The Wigner function for multimode coherent states is [1]

$$W_{\mathbf{A},\mathbf{B}} = 2^{N}\exp[-2\mathbf{Z}\mathbf{Z}^{*} + 2\mathbf{A}\mathbf{Z}^{*} + 2\mathbf{B}^{*}\mathbf{Z} - \mathbf{A}\mathbf{B}^{*} - \frac{\mid \mathbf{A} \mid^{2}}{2} - \frac{\mid \mathbf{B} \mid^{2}}{2}], \tag{197}$$

where

$$\mathbf{Z} = \frac{\mathbf{q} + \mathbf{i}\mathbf{p}}{\sqrt{2}}. \tag{198}$$

For even and odd coherent states, the Wigner function is

$$\begin{aligned} W_{\mathbf{A}_{\pm}}(\mathbf{q},\mathbf{p}) &= \mid N_{\pm} \mid^{2} [W_{(\mathbf{A},\mathbf{B}=\mathbf{A})}(\mathbf{q},\mathbf{p}) \pm W_{(\mathbf{A},\mathbf{B}=-\mathbf{A})}(\mathbf{q},\mathbf{p}) \\ &\pm W_{(-\mathbf{A},\mathbf{B}=\mathbf{A})}(\mathbf{q},\mathbf{p}) + W_{(-\mathbf{A},\mathbf{B}=-\mathbf{A})}(\mathbf{q},\mathbf{p})], \end{aligned} \tag{199}$$

where explicit forms of $N_{\pm}$ are given in Eq. (184). For multimode case, we use following notations

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{A}\mathbf{Z}^{*} &= \alpha_1 Z_1^{*} + \alpha_2 Z_2^{*} + \cdots \alpha_n Z_n^{*}, \\ \mathbf{Z}\mathbf{Z}^{*} &= Z_1 Z_1^{*} + Z_2 Z_2^{*} + \cdots + Z_n Z_n^{*}. \end{aligned} \tag{200}$$

The photon distribution function gives the probability of finding $2k$ photons for two–mode even coherent state, and is defined as

$$P_{+}(2k) = \frac{(\mid \alpha_1 \mid^{2} + \mid \alpha_2 \mid^{2})^{2k}}{(2k)!\cosh(\mid \alpha_1 \mid^{2} + \mid \alpha_2 \mid^{2})}, \tag{201}$$

where $2k = n_1 + n_2$, for both n_1 and n_2 to be even or odd numbers. Similarly for two–mode odd coherent state it gives the probability of finding $2k+1$ photons

$$P_{-}(2k+1) = \frac{(\mid \alpha_1 \mid^2 + \mid \alpha_2 \mid^2)^{(2k+1)}}{(2k+1)! \sinh(\mid \alpha_1 \mid^2 + \mid \alpha_2 \mid^2)}. \tag{202}$$

For this case, n_1 is even and n_2 is odd number, or vice versa. For single–mode case, the photon distribution functions demonstrate super and sub–Poissonian properties for even and odd coherent states, respectively. The same conclusion may be drawn for two–mode (and multimode) even and odd coherent states.

15 Generic Quadratic Systems

The generic mechanical or optical linear system with energy operator has the Hamiltonian

$$H = \frac{1}{2}\mathbf{Q}B(t)\mathbf{Q} + \mathbf{C}(t)\mathbf{Q}, \tag{203}$$

where we use 2N–vectors $\mathbf{Q} = (p_1,\ p_2, \ldots, p_N,\ q_1,\ q_2, \ldots, q_N)$, and $\mathbf{C}(t)$ as well as, 2N×2N–matrix $B(t)$, the Planck constant $\hbar = 1$. This system has 2N linear integrals of motion [1], [2] which are written in vector form

$$\mathbf{Q}_0(t) = \Lambda(t)\mathbf{Q} + \mathbf{\Delta}(t). \tag{204}$$

The real symplectic matrix $\Lambda(t)$ is the solution of the system of equations

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{\Lambda}(t) &= \Lambda(t)\Sigma B(t), \\ \Lambda(0) &= 1, \end{aligned} \tag{205}$$

where the real antisymmetrical matrix Σ is 2N–dimensional analog of the Pauli matrix $i\sigma_y$, and the vector $\mathbf{\Delta}(t)$ is solution of the system of equations

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{\mathbf{\Delta}}(t) &= \Lambda(t)\Sigma\mathbf{C}(t), \\ \mathbf{\Delta}(0) &= 0. \end{aligned} \tag{206}$$

If for time $t = 0$, one has the initial Wigner function of the system in the form

$$W(\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{q}, t = 0) = W_0(\mathbf{Q}), \tag{207}$$

the Wigner function of the system at time t is

$$W(\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{q}, t) = W_0[\Lambda(t)\mathbf{Q} + \mathbf{\Delta}(t)]. \tag{208}$$

The Hamiltonian (203) may be rewritten in terms of creation and annihilation operators

$$H = \frac{1}{2}\mathbf{A}D(t)\mathbf{A} + \mathbf{E}(t)\mathbf{A}, \tag{209}$$

where we use 2N–vectors $\mathbf{A} = (\, a_1,\, a_2, \ldots,\, a_N,\, a_1\dagger,\, a_2\dagger, \ldots,\, a_N\dagger)$, and $\mathbf{E}(t)$ as well as, 2N×2N–matrix $D(t)$. This system has 2N–linear integrals of motion [1], [2] which are written in vector form

$$\mathbf{A}_0(t) = M(t)\mathbf{A} + \mathbf{N}(t). \tag{210}$$

The complex matrix $M(t)$ is the solution of the system of equations

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{M}(t) &= M(t)\sigma D(t), \\ M(0) &= 1, \end{aligned} \tag{211}$$

where the imaginary antisymmetric matrix σ is 2N×2N–analog of the Pauli matrix $-\sigma_y$, and the vector $\mathbf{N}(t)$ is solution of the system of equations

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{\mathbf{N}}(t) &= M(t)\sigma\mathbf{E}(t), \\ \mathbf{N}(0) &= 0. \end{aligned} \tag{212}$$

If for time $t = 0$, one has the initial Q–function of the system in the form

$$Q(\alpha, \alpha^*, t = 0) = Q_0(\alpha), \tag{213}$$

the Q–function of the system at time t is

$$Q(\alpha, \alpha^*, t) = Q_0[M(t)\alpha + \mathbf{N}(t)]. \tag{214}$$

Here $\alpha = (\, \mathbf{q} + i\mathbf{p})/\sqrt{2}$.

For time–independent Hamiltonian (203), the matrix $\Lambda(t)$ is

$$\Lambda(t) = \exp(\Sigma B t), \tag{215}$$

and the vector $\mathbf{\Delta}(t)$ is

$$\mathbf{\Delta}(t) = \int_0^t \exp(\Sigma B \tau)\ \Sigma\ \mathbf{C}(\tau)\ d\tau. \tag{216}$$

For time–independent Hamiltonian (209), the matrix $M(t)$ is

$$M(t) = \exp(\sigma D t), \tag{217}$$

and the vector $\mathbf{N}(t)$ is

$$\mathbf{N}(t) = \int_0^t \exp(\sigma D \tau)\ \sigma\ \mathbf{E}(\tau)\ d\tau. \tag{218}$$

For time–dependent linear systems, the Wigner function of generic squeezed and correlated state has Gaussian form and it was calculated in [1].

16 Optical Homodyne Tomography

In [25] it was shown a relation of marginal distribution $w(X,\Theta)$ for homodyne output variable

$$\hat{X}(\Theta) = \hat{q}\cos\Theta - \hat{p}\sin\Theta, \tag{219}$$

and the Wigner function $W(q,p)$ of the form

$$w(X,\Theta) = \int W(x\cos\Theta - v\sin\Theta, x\sin\Theta + v\cos\Theta)\ dv, \tag{220}$$

where

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} w(X,\Theta)\ dX = 1. \tag{221}$$

The inverse relation which is Radon tomographic transform,

$$\begin{aligned} W(q,p,s\to 0) &= \frac{1}{4\pi^2}\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dx\ dy \int_0^{\pi} d\Theta\ w(X,\Theta) \\ &\times \exp[(sy^2/8) + iy(X - q\cos\Theta - p\sin\Theta)]\ |y|, \end{aligned} \tag{222}$$

gives the possibility measuring the homodyne variables (219) to measure the Wigner function of the system. In [26] the method was extended to measure the Wigner function by measuring the marginal distribution $w(X,\mu,\nu,\delta)$ for the variable

$$\hat{X} = \mu\hat{q} + \nu\hat{p} + \delta, \tag{223}$$

since it is easy to show that the Wigner function is

$$W(q,p) = (2\pi)^2 s^2 \exp(isX)\ \widetilde{w}(X, sq, sp, s). \tag{224}$$

The function $\widetilde{w}(X,m,n,s)$ is the Fourier transform of the marginal distribution of $w(X,\mu,\nu,\delta)$.

17 Conclusion

The discussed method of the time–dependent integrals of motion may be applied to systems with varying parameters, for example, to the model of the creation of photons and squeezing phenomenon in frame of nonstationary Casimir effect using the generalized harmonic oscillator (see, [27]). The analogous phenomenon of reduction of quantum noise for oscillating mirrors has been discussed in [28]. The behaviour of the system containing moving mirrors and light beams has been discussed in [29], and using even and odd coherent state light (Schrödinger cat light) in interferometric gravitational wave detectors has been suggested in [30].

The coherent states [31], [32], [33] which describe classical states of light have the Poisson photon statistics. Nonclassical states like squeezed states [34], [35], [36] or

generalized correlated states [37] have nonpoissonian light statistics. Different kinds of nonclassical states are studied in [38].

There is possibility to introduce different types of nonclassical states using different types of superposition of complete set either of photon number states or of coherent states. All such states which may be constructed experimentally are interesting, and many of these states may be created in situations with nonstationarities or in situations with nonlinearities.

Acknowledgments

The author would like to acknowledge the Organizers of Latin American School on Physics 1995 for kind hospitality.

References

[1] V. V. Dodonov and V. I. Man'ko, *Invariants and Evolution of Nonstationary Quantum Systems*, Proceedings of Lebedev Physical Institute **183**, ed. M. A. Markov (Nova Science, Commack, N. Y., 1989).

[2] I. A. Malkin and V. I. Man'ko, *Dynamical Symmetries and Coherent States of Quantum Systems* (Nauka Publishers, Moscow, 1979) [in Russian].

[3] P. Ermakov, Univ. Izv. Kiev **20**, N9, 1 (1880).

[4] I. A. Malkin and V. I. Man'ko, Phys. Lett. A **32**, 243 (1970).

[5] I. A. Malkin, V. I. Man'ko, and D. A. Trifonov, Phys. Lett. A **30**, 414 (1969).

[6] I. A. Malkin and V. I. Man'ko, Zh. Eksp. Teor. Fiz. **58**, 721 (1970) [Sov. Phys. - JETP **31**, 386 (1970)].

[7] I. A. Malkin, V. I. Man'ko, and D. A. Trifonov, Zh. Eksp. Teor. Fiz. **59**, 1746 (1970) [Sov. Phys. - JETP **32**, 949 (1971)].

[8] V. V. Dodonov, I. A. Malkin, and V. I. Man'ko, Physica **59**, 241 (1972).

[9] I. A. Malkin, V. I. Man'ko, and D. A. Trifonov, J. Math. Phys. **14**, 576 (1973).

[10] O. Castaños, J. Fernandez–Nunez, and E. Martinez, Lett. Math. Phys. **23**, 51 (1991).

[11] G. Profilo and G. Soliani, Ann. Phys. (N. Y.) **229**, 160 (1994).

[12] O. Castaños, R. Lopez–Pena, and V. I. Man'ko, J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. **27**, 1751 (1994).

[13] E. Schrödinger, Ber. Kgl. Akad. Wiss. Berlin **24**, 296 (1930).

[14] V. V. Dodonov, I. A. Malkin, and V. I. Man'ko, Physica **72**, 597 (1974).

[15] V. V. Dodonov, V. I. Man'ko, and D. E. Nikonov, Phys. Rev. A (in press).

[16] E. P. Wigner, Phys. Rev. **40**, 749 (1932).

[17] K. Husimi, Proc. Phys. Math. Soc. Japan **22**, 264 (1940).

[18] I. A. Malkin, V. I. Man'ko, and D. A. Trifonov, Phys. Rev. D **2**, 1731 (1970).

[19] V. V. Dodonov, O. V. Man'ko, and V. I. Man'ko, Phys. Rev. A **50**, 813 (1994).

[20] V. V. Dodonov, V. I. Man'ko, and V. V. Semjonov, Nuovo Cim. B **83**, 145 (1984).

[21] V. V. Dodonov and V. I. Man'ko, J. Math. Phys. **35**, 4277 (1994).

[22] V. V. Dodonov, J. Phys. A **27**, 6191 (1994).

[23] V. I. Man'ko, J. Soviet Laser Research (Plenum Press, N. Y.) **12**, N5 (1991).

[24] N. A. Ansari and V. I. Man'ko, Phys. Rev. A **50**, 1942 (1994).

[25] K. Vogel and H. Risken, Phys. Rev. A **40**, 2847 (1989).

[26] S. Mancini, V. I. Man'ko and P. Tombesi, *Wigner function and probability distribution for shifted and squeezed quadratures*, Contribution to EEC Workshop "Nonclassical Light," Corvara, Italy, 29 January-3 February, 1995 [to be published in J. of Quantum Optics].

[27] O. Castaños, R. Lópes–Peña, and V. I. Man'ko, Phys. Rev. A **50**, 5209 (1994).

[28] S. Mancini and P. Tombesi, Phys. Rev. A **49**, 4055 (1994).

[29] S. Solimeno, F. Barone, C. de Lisio, L. Di Fiore, L. Milano, and G. Russo, Phys. Rev. A **43**, 6227 (1991).

[30] N. A. Ansari, L. Di Fiore, M. A. Man'ko, V. I. Man'ko, S. Solimeno, and F. Zaccaria, Phys. Rev. A **49**, 2151 (1994).

[31] R. J. Glauber, Phys. Rev. Lett. **10**, 84 (1963).

[32] E. C. G. Sudarshan, Phys. Rev. Lett. **10**, 177 (1963).

[33] J. R. Klauder, J. Math. Phys. **4**, 1055 (1963).

[34] J. N. Hollenhorst, Phys. Rev. D **19**, 1669 (1979).

[35] H. P. Yuen, Phys. Rev. A **13**, 2226 (1976).

[36] G. S. Prakash and G. S. Agarwal, Phys. Rev. A **50**, 4258 (1994).

[37] E. C. G. Sudarshan, *Uncertainty relations, zero point energy and the linear canonical group*, Proceedings of Second International Workshop on Squeezed States and Uncertainty Relations, Moscow, 25-29 May, 1992, eds. D. Han, Y. S. Kim, and V. I. Man'ko (NASA Conference Publication **3219**, 1993) p. 241.

[38] M. M. Nieto and D. R. Truax, Phys. Rev. Lett. **71**, 2843 (1993).